

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/562,166	Applicant(s) KRISCH ET AL.	
	Examiner ALYSON M. MERLINO	Art Unit 3673	

All Participants:

(1) ALYSON M. MERLINO.

(2) Todd Blakely.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
36, 53 and 56

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 6 October 2010, the examiner presented applicant's representative with examiner's amendments to claim 36 to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner also contacted applicant's representative on 7 October 2010 to confirm that Figure 5, filed 28 April 2008, should not be included in the drawings in the application and to discuss further amendments to claims 53 and 56..